

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,071	11/21/2000	Jay C. Hsu	KCX-359 (15169)	1979
7:	590 10/22/2003		EXAMI	NER
Jason W. Johnston,			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
Dority & Manning, P.A.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 1449			L	TAFER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29602-1449			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-7	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/718,071	HSU ET AL.
·	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lauren Q Wells	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-17,19-22,24-27,29,44 and 45</u>	<u>5</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	4 - 1	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		Nakmonth
	SRE E SUPERVIS	NI PADMANABHAN ORY PATENT EXAMINER
		(5/17/1)2

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Cancelling claim 46 overcomes the 35 USC 112 rejection in the previous Office Action; the Terminal Disclaimer filed is sufficient to overcome the Double Patenting Rejection in the previous Office Action..

Continuation of 10. Other: Regarding the 131 Declaration filed, it is respectfully pointed out that the reference that the Applicant is swearing behing was relied upon by the Examiner in the first Office Action. Thus, Applicant had time to submit a 131 Declaration in response to the first Office Action. Additionally, the Examiner has relied upon a second primary reference that Applicant has not sworn behind. While the reference Applicant is swearing behind is combined, as a secondary reference, with the second primary reference, the second primary reference can be combined with another secondary reference to meet the limitations of the instant claims. Thus, the 131 Declaration filed by Applicant would not place the Application in condition for Allowance. For these reasons, the Declaration is not being considered..